



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/141,017 10/26/1993		10/26/1993	EUGENE P. GOLDBERG	4733	7268
181	7590	01/12/2006		EXAMINER	
		BRIDGE PC	WEBMAN, EDWARD J		
1751 PINNA SUITE 500	ACLE DR	RIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN,	VA 221	02-3833	1616		
				DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, •		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			41,017	GOLDBERG ET AL.				
			niner	Art Unit				
		Edwa	ard J. Webman	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHICH - Extension after SIX - If NO pe - Failure to Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAD one of time may be available under the provisions of (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communities of reply is specified above, the maximum state or exply within the set or extended period for reply way received by the Office later than three months after that there months after that term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE Of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nication. utory period will apply ill, by statute, cause the status of the statu	F THIS COMMUNICATION no event, however, may a reply be time and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed	on 24 October	· <u>2005</u> .					
2a)∐ Ti	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□ S	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	n of Claims							
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	laim(s) 1, 3-7 is/are pending in the a i) Of the above claim(s) is/are laim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) 1 and 3-7 is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restricti	e withdrawn fror						
Application	n Papers							
9)∐ Th	e specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) Informat	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P o(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 08/141,017

Art Unit: 1616

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Soll et al.

Soll et al teach minimizing damage to endothelial and epithelial cells by treatment with chondroitin sulfate prior to surgery (abstract). A molecular weight of 50,000-100,000 is disclosed (column 3 lines 8-10). A concentration of 0.5-30% is disclosed (column 5 lines 64-66). A protective coating is specified (column 5 lines 31-32).

Applicants argue that overcoming the obvious double patenting rejection over US 5,080,893 necessarily overcomes the rejection over anticipation over Soll et al. However, no explanation is provided.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Application/Control Number: 08/141,017

Art Unit: 1616

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 3-7 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 5,080,893. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims encompass the molecular weight of that recited in the patented claims.

It appears that on 2/19/94 and 7/22/05, applicants were sent notices of denial of entry of the terminal disclaimer filed 6/30/03 and 9/19/94 respectively.

No claims allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is 571-272-0633. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Padmanabhan, can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 08/141,017

Art Unit: 1616

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Page 4

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDWARD J. WEBMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500